

PubMed

Abstract

Full text links

ELSEVIER
FULL-TEXT ARTICLE

Eur Urol. 2015 Jun 6. pii: S0302-2838(15)00444-3. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2015.05.042. [Epub ahead of print]

Tissue-based Genomics Augments Post-prostatectomy Risk Stratification in a Natural History Cohort of Intermediate- and High-Risk Men.

Ross AE¹, Johnson MH², Yousefi K³, Davicioni E³, Netto GJ¹, Marchionni L⁴, Fedor HL², Glavaris S², Choeurng V³, Buerki C³, Erho N³, Lam LL³, Humphreys EB², Faraj S⁵, Bezerra SM⁵, Han M², Partin AW², Trock BJ², Schaeffer EM⁶.

Author information

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Radical prostatectomy (RP) is a primary treatment option for men with intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer. Although many are effectively cured with local therapy alone, these men are by definition at higher risk of adverse pathologic features and clinical disease recurrence. It has been shown that the Decipher test predicts metastatic progression in cohorts that received adjuvant and salvage therapy following RP.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the Decipher genomic classifier in a natural history cohort of men at risk who received no additional treatment until the time of metastatic progression.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS: Retrospective case-cohort design for 356 men who underwent RP between 1992 and 2010 at intermediate or high risk and received no additional treatment until the time of metastasis. Participants met the following criteria: (1) Cancer of the Prostate Risk Assessment postsurgical (CAPRA-S) score ≥ 3 ; (2) pathologic Gleason score ≥ 7 ; and (3) post-RP prostate-specific antigen nadir < 0.2 ng/ml.

OUTCOME MEASUREMENTS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: The primary endpoint was defined as regional or distant metastases. Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, extension of decision curve analysis to survival data, and univariable and multivariable Cox proportional-hazards models were used to measure the discrimination, net benefit, and prognostic potential of genomic and pathologic risk factors. Cumulative incidence curves were constructed using Fine-Gray competing-risks analysis with appropriate weighting of the controls to account for the case-cohort study design.

RESULTS AND LIMITATIONS: Ninety six patients had unavailable tumor blocks or failed microarray quality control. Decipher scores were then obtained for 260 patients, of whom 99 experienced metastasis. Decipher correlated with increased cumulative incidence of biochemical recurrence, metastasis, and prostate cancer-specific mortality ($p < 0.01$). The cumulative incidence of metastasis was 12% and 47% for patients with low and high Decipher scores, respectively, at 10 yr after RP. Decipher was independently prognostic of metastasis in multivariable analysis (hazard ratio 1.26 per 10% increase; $p < 0.01$). Decipher had a c-index of 0.76 and increased the c-index of Eggener and CAPRA-S risk models from 0.76 and 0.77 to 0.86 and 0.87, respectively, at 10 yr after RP. Although the cohort was large, the single-center retrospective design is an important limitation.

CONCLUSIONS: In a patient population that received no adjuvant or salvage therapy after prostatectomy until metastatic progression, higher Decipher scores correlated with clinical events, and inclusion of Decipher scores improved the prognostic performance of validated clinicopathologic risk models. These

results confirm the utility already reported for Decipher.

PATIENT SUMMARY: The Decipher test improves identification of patients most at risk of metastatic progression and death from prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy.

Copyright © 2015 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

KEYWORDS: Genomic classifier; Metastasis; Prognosis; Prostate cancer; Transcriptome

PMID: **26058959** [PubMed - as supplied by publisher]



LinkOut - more resources

PubMed Commons

[PubMed Commons home](#)

0 comments

[How to join PubMed Commons](#)