

PubMed

Display Settings: Abstract



Eur Urol. 2013 Dec;64(6):895-902. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2013.03.033. Epub 2013 Mar 23.

## A new risk classification system for therapeutic decision making with intermediate-risk prostate cancer patients undergoing dose-escalated external-beam radiation therapy.

Zumsteg ZS<sup>1</sup>, Spratt DE, Pei I, Zhang Z, Yamada Y, Kollmeier M, Zelevsky MJ.

### Author information

#### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** The management of intermediate-risk prostate cancer (PCa) is controversial, in part due to the heterogeneous nature of patients falling within this classification.

**OBJECTIVE:** We propose a new risk stratification system for intermediate-risk PCa to aid in prognosis and therapeutic decision making.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS:** Between 1992 and 2007, 1024 patients with National Comprehensive Cancer Network intermediate-risk PCa and complete biopsy information were treated with definitive external-beam radiation therapy (EBRT) utilizing doses  $\geq 81$  Gy. Unfavorable intermediate-risk (UIR) PCa was defined as any intermediate-risk patient with a primary Gleason pattern of 4, percentage of positive biopsy cores (PPBC)  $\geq 50\%$ , or multiple intermediate-risk factors (IRFs; cT2b-c, prostate-specific antigen [PSA] 10-20, or Gleason score 7).

**INTERVENTION:** All patients received EBRT with  $\geq 81$  Gy with or without neoadjuvant and concurrent androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT).

**OUTCOME MEASUREMENTS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using a Cox proportional hazards model for PSA recurrence-free survival (PSA-RFS) and distant metastasis (DM). PCa-specific mortality (PCSM) was analyzed using a competing-risk method.

**RESULTS AND LIMITATIONS:** Median follow-up was 71 mo. Primary Gleason pattern 4 (hazard ratio [HR]: 3.26;  $p < 0.0001$ ), PPBC  $\geq 50\%$  (HR: 2.72;  $p = 0.0007$ ), and multiple IRFs (HR: 2.20;  $p = 0.008$ ) all were significant predictors of increased DM in multivariate analyses. Primary Gleason pattern 4 (HR: 5.23;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and PPBC  $\geq 50\%$  (HR: 4.08;  $p = 0.002$ ) but not multiple IRFs (HR: 1.74;  $p = 0.21$ ) independently predicted for increased PCSM. Patients with UIR disease had inferior PSA-RFS (HR: 2.37;  $p < 0.0001$ ), DM (HR: 4.34;  $p = 0.0003$ ), and PCSM (HR: 7.39;  $p = 0.007$ ) compared with those with favorable intermediate-risk disease, despite being more likely to receive neoadjuvant ADT. Short follow-up and retrospective study design are the primary limitations.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Intermediate-risk PCa is a heterogeneous collection of diseases that can be separated into favorable and unfavorable subsets. These groups likely will benefit from divergent therapeutic paradigms.

Copyright © 2013 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**KEYWORDS:** Androgen deprivation; Dose escalation; Intermediate risk; Prostate cancer; Risk stratification

## Comment in

Prostate cancer: Stratifying intermediate-risk patients for radiotherapy. [Nat Rev Urol. 2013]

Personalizing the management of men with intermediate-risk prostate cancer. [Eur Urol. 2013]

PMID: 23541457 [PubMed - in process]

---

## LinkOut - more resources



---

## PubMed Commons

[PubMed Commons home](#)

0 comments

[How to join PubMed Commons](#)